

Some Formulas for Invariant Phases of Unitary Matrices by Jarlskog

Tatsuo SUZUKI*

Center for Educational Assistance
Shibaura Institute of Technology
Saitama, 337-8570
Japan

Abstract

We describe calculations of Jarlskog's determinant in the case of $n = 3, 4$ in detail. Next, we investigate some formulas for invariant phases of unitary matrices and derive some explicit relations of them.

1 Introduction

CP violation is expected in the standard model of particle physics with three or more families [1], [2]. Therefore it is an important problem that what is the measure of CP violation with such families which is invariant under the action of phase factors.

To construct invariants for matrix action, the determinant is a useful tool. In the previous paper [3], C. Jarlskog succeeded to define invariants of CP violation by using the determinant for commutator of the quark mass matrices. For the case of 3 families, it is relatively easy to calculate it. Moreover, in that case, her determinant is propotional to an invariant phase of unitary matrices. Then she discussed invariant quantities for 4 families by using projection operators and the trace of some matrices, but she did not deal with her determinant itself for $n = 4$ [4]. Therefore the problem is still remained. An approach to this problem is to use a parametrization for

*E-mail address: i027110@sic.shibaura-it.ac.jp, suzukita@aoni.waseda.jp

unitary matrices. See [5], [6], [7], [8] and their references within. Geometric constructions of them are also studied, see, for example, [9], [10], [11].

In this paper, we show the explicit calculation of Jarlskog's determinant in the case of 4 families and we find that it is hard to use the determinant for investigations of CP violation in the case of $n = 4$. Next, we study Jarlskog's invariant phases of unitary matrices instead of the determinant. we give some useful formulas for them and derive the detailed dependency of them which was simply described in the previous paper [6].

2 Jarlskog's Determinant

Let H, H' be $n \times n$ -matrices ("mass matrices"), their eigenvalues ("masses of quarks") a_i, b_i (all multiplicities are 1), and their diagonalizations $H = UDU^\dagger, H' = U'D'U'^\dagger$ (where U, U' are unitary matrices). Then, we define Jarlskog's determinant $\det[H, H']$ as follows [3]; we put a "quark mixing matrix" $V = U^\dagger U'$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det[H, H'] &= \det(HH' - H'H) \\ &= \det(UDU^\dagger U' D' U'^\dagger - U' D' U'^\dagger U D U^\dagger) \\ &= \det(DU^\dagger U' D' U'^\dagger U - U^\dagger U' D' U'^\dagger U D) \\ &= \det(DV D' V^\dagger - V D' V^\dagger D). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

We denote V_{ij} as the components of the unitary matrix V , then by a straightforward calculation, we have

$$(DV D' V^\dagger - V D' V^\dagger D)_{ij} = (a_i - a_j) \sum_k b_k V_{ik} \bar{V}_{jk}.$$

(I) First we consider her determinant (2.1) in the case of $n = 3$. This is a result of Jarlskog [3].

Proposition 1 (Jarlskog).

$$\det[H, H'] = 2i TB \operatorname{Im} (V_{11} V_{22} \bar{V}_{12} \bar{V}_{21}) \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$T = \prod_{(i,j)=(1,2),(2,3),(3,1)} (a_i - a_j), \quad B = \prod_{(i,j)=(1,2),(2,3),(3,1)} (b_i - b_j).$$

Proof First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(DVD'V^\dagger - VD'V^\dagger D) \\ &= \prod_{(i,j)=(1,2),(2,3),(3,1)} (a_i - a_j) \sum_{k=1}^3 b_k V_{ik} \bar{V}_{jk} - \prod_{(i,j)=(1,2),(2,3),(3,1)} (a_i - a_j) \sum_{k=1}^3 b_k V_{jk} \bar{V}_{ik}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(DVD'V^\dagger - VD'V^\dagger D)/T \\ &= \prod_{(i,j)=(1,2),(2,3),(3,1)} \sum_{k=1}^3 b_k (V_{ik} \bar{V}_{jk} - V_{jk} \bar{V}_{ik}) \\ &= 2i \operatorname{Im} \prod_{(i,j)=(1,2),(2,3),(3,1)} \sum_{k=1}^3 b_k V_{ik} \bar{V}_{jk} \\ &= 2i \operatorname{Im} \prod_{(i,j)=(1,2),(2,3),(3,1)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^2 b_k V_{ik} \bar{V}_{jk} + b_3 V_{i3} \bar{V}_{j3} \right) \\ &= 2i \operatorname{Im} \prod_{(i,j)=(1,2),(2,3),(3,1)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^2 b_k V_{ik} \bar{V}_{jk} + b_3 (\delta_{ij} - V_{i1} \bar{V}_{j1} - V_{i2} \bar{V}_{j2}) \right) \\ &= 2i \operatorname{Im} \prod_{(i,j)=(1,2),(2,3),(3,1)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^2 (b_k - b_3) V_{ik} \bar{V}_{jk} \right). \\ &= 2i \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \sum_{k_1=1}^2 (b_{k_1} - b_3) V_{1k_1} \bar{V}_{2k_1} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{k_2=1}^2 (b_{k_2} - b_3) V_{2k_2} \bar{V}_{3k_2} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{k_3=1}^2 (b_{k_3} - b_3) V_{3k_3} \bar{V}_{1k_3} \right\} \\ &= 2i \operatorname{Im} \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3=1}^2 (b_{k_1} - b_3)(b_{k_2} - b_3)(b_{k_3} - b_3) V_{1k_1} \bar{V}_{2k_1} V_{2k_2} \bar{V}_{3k_2} V_{3k_3} \bar{V}_{1k_3} \\ &= 2i \operatorname{Im} \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3=1}^2 (b_{k_1} - b_3)(b_{k_2} - b_3)(b_{k_3} - b_3) \\ & \quad \times \{ V_{1k_1} \bar{V}_{2k_1} V_{2k_2} \bar{V}_{1k_3} (\delta_{k_2 k_3} - \bar{V}_{1k_2} x_{1k_3} - \bar{V}_{2k_2} x_{2k_3}) \} \\ &= 2i \operatorname{Im} \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^2 (b_{k_1} - b_3)(b_{k_2} - b_3)^2 V_{1k_1} \bar{V}_{2k_1} V_{2k_2} \bar{V}_{1k_2} \\ & \quad - 2i \operatorname{Im} \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3=1}^2 (b_{k_1} - b_3)(b_{k_2} - b_3)(b_{k_3} - b_3) \\ & \quad \times \{ V_{1k_1} \bar{V}_{2k_1} V_{2k_2} \bar{V}_{1k_2} |x_{1k_3}|^2 + V_{1k_1} \bar{V}_{2k_1} x_{2k_3} \bar{V}_{1k_3} |V_{2k_2}|^2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

We calculate all sums explicitly and we remark that $V_{11}\bar{V}_{21}V_{22}\bar{V}_{12}+V_{12}\bar{V}_{22}V_{21}\bar{V}_{11}$ is real and its imaginary part vanishes, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2i \operatorname{Im} \left\{ (b_1 - b_3)(b_2 - b_3)^2 V_{11} \bar{V}_{21} V_{22} \bar{V}_{12} + (b_2 - b_3)(b_1 - b_3)^2 V_{12} \bar{V}_{22} V_{21} \bar{V}_{11} \right\} \\
&= 2i \operatorname{Im} \left\{ (b_1 - b_3)(b_2 - b_3)^2 V_{11} \bar{V}_{21} V_{22} \bar{V}_{12} - (b_2 - b_3)(b_1 - b_3)^2 V_{11} \bar{V}_{21} V_{22} \bar{V}_{12} \right\} \\
&= 2i \operatorname{Im} \left\{ (b_1 - b_3)(b_2 - b_3)^2 - (b_2 - b_3)(b_1 - b_3)^2 \right\} V_{11} \bar{V}_{21} V_{22} \bar{V}_{12} \\
&= 2i \operatorname{Im} (b_1 - b_3)(b_2 - b_3)(b_2 - b_1) V_{11} \bar{V}_{21} V_{22} \bar{V}_{12}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we conclude the proof.

(II) Next, we consider (2.1) in the case of $n = 4$. As mentioned above, Jarlskog did not deal with her determinant (2.1) itself for $n = 4$. Therefore we calculate it directly.

Here we put

$$u_{ij} := (D V D' V^\dagger - V D' V^\dagger D)_{ij} = (a_i - a_j) \sum_k b_k V_{ik} \bar{V}_{jk}, \quad (\text{where } u_{ji} = -\bar{u}_{ij}).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(D V D' V^\dagger - V D' V^\dagger D) &= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & u_{12} & u_{13} & u_{14} \\ -\bar{u}_{12} & 0 & u_{23} & u_{24} \\ -\bar{u}_{13} & -\bar{u}_{23} & 0 & u_{34} \\ -\bar{u}_{14} & -\bar{u}_{24} & -\bar{u}_{34} & 0 \end{vmatrix} \\
&= u_{12} u_{34} \bar{u}_{12} \bar{u}_{34} + u_{13} u_{24} \bar{u}_{13} \bar{u}_{24} + u_{14} u_{23} \bar{u}_{14} \bar{u}_{23} \\
&\quad - \{ u_{12} u_{24} \bar{u}_{13} \bar{u}_{34} + u_{13} u_{34} \bar{u}_{12} \bar{u}_{24} + u_{14} u_{23} \bar{u}_{13} \bar{u}_{24} + u_{13} u_{24} \bar{u}_{14} \bar{u}_{23} \} \\
&\quad + u_{14} \bar{u}_{12} \bar{u}_{23} \bar{u}_{34} + u_{12} u_{23} u_{34} \bar{u}_{14}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left\{ \left(\prod_{(i,j)=(1,2),(3,4),(2,1),(4,3)} + \prod_{(i,j)=(1,3),(2,4),(3,1),(4,2)} + \prod_{(i,j)=(1,4),(2,3),(4,1),(3,2)} \right) \right. \\
&\quad - \left(\prod_{(i,j)=(1,2),(2,4),(3,1),(4,3)} + \prod_{(i,j)=(1,3),(3,4),(2,1),(4,2)} + \prod_{(i,j)=(1,4),(2,3),(3,1),(4,2)} + \prod_{(i,j)=(1,3),(2,4),(4,1),(3,2)} \right) \\
&\quad \left. - \left(\prod_{(i,j)=(1,4),(2,1),(3,2),(4,3)} + \prod_{(i,j)=(1,2),(2,3),(3,4),(4,1)} \right) \right\} (a_i - a_j) \sum_{k=1}^4 b_k V_{ik} \bar{V}_{jk} \\
&= \operatorname{Re} \left[\left\{ \left(\prod_{(i,j)=(1,2),(3,4),(2,1),(4,3)} + \prod_{(i,j)=(1,3),(2,4),(3,1),(4,2)} + \prod_{(i,j)=(1,4),(2,3),(4,1),(3,2)} \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad - 2 \left(\prod_{(i,j)=(1,2),(2,4),(3,1),(4,3)} + \prod_{(i,j)=(1,3),(2,4),(4,1),(3,2)} + \prod_{(i,j)=(1,2),(2,3),(3,4),(4,1)} \right) \left. \right\} \\
&\quad \left. (a_i - a_j) \sum_{k=1}^4 b_k V_{ik} \bar{V}_{jk} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Here we put

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{(ij)(kl)} &:= (a_i - a_j)^2 (a_k - a_l)^2, \quad T_{(ijkl)} := (a_i - a_j)(a_j - a_k)(a_k - a_l)(a_l - a_i) \\
B_{(ij)(kl)} &:= (b_i - b_j)^2 (b_k - b_l)^2, \quad B_{(ijkl)} := (b_i - b_j)(b_j - b_k)(b_k - b_l)(b_l - b_i) \\
b_{k4} &:= b_k - b_4
\end{aligned}$$

and we remark

$$V_{i4} \bar{V}_{j4} = \delta_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^3 V_{ik} \bar{V}_{jk} = - \sum_{k=1}^3 V_{ik} \bar{V}_{jk} \quad (i \neq j),$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \text{Re} \left[\left(T_{(12)(34)} \prod_{(i,j)=(1,2),(3,4),(2,1),(4,3)} + T_{(13)(24)} \prod_{(i,j)=(1,3),(2,4),(3,1),(4,2)} + T_{(14)(23)} \prod_{(i,j)=(1,4),(2,3),(4,1),(3,2)} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \left\{ \left(T_{(1243)} \prod_{(i,j)=(1,2),(2,4),(3,1),(4,3)} + T_{(1324)} \prod_{(i,j)=(1,3),(2,4),(4,1),(3,2)} + T_{(1234)} \prod_{(i,j)=(1,2),(2,3),(3,4),(4,1)} \right) \right\} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \sum_{k=1}^3 b_{4k} V_{ik} \bar{V}_{jk} \right] \\
&= \text{Re} \left[T_{(12)(34)} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} V_{1k_1} \bar{V}_{2k_1} V_{3k_2} \bar{V}_{4k_2} V_{2k_3} \bar{V}_{1k_3} V_{4k_4} \bar{V}_{3k_4} \right. \\
&\quad + T_{(13)(24)} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} V_{1k_1} \bar{V}_{3k_1} V_{2k_2} \bar{V}_{4k_2} V_{3k_3} \bar{V}_{1k_3} V_{4k_4} \bar{V}_{2k_4} \\
&\quad + T_{(14)(23)} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} V_{1k_1} \bar{V}_{4k_1} V_{2k_2} \bar{V}_{3k_2} V_{4k_3} \bar{V}_{1k_3} V_{3k_4} \bar{V}_{2k_4} \\
&\quad - 2 \left(T_{(1243)} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} V_{1k_1} \bar{V}_{2k_1} V_{2k_2} \bar{V}_{4k_2} V_{3k_3} \bar{V}_{1k_3} V_{4k_4} \bar{V}_{3k_4} \right. \\
&\quad + T_{(1324)} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} V_{1k_1} \bar{V}_{3k_1} V_{2k_2} \bar{V}_{4k_2} V_{4k_3} \bar{V}_{1k_3} V_{3k_4} \bar{V}_{2k_4} \\
&\quad \left. \left. + T_{(1234)} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} V_{1k_1} \bar{V}_{2k_1} V_{2k_2} \bar{V}_{3k_2} V_{3k_3} \bar{V}_{4k_3} V_{4k_4} \bar{V}_{1k_4} \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Here we introduce some notations;

$$[\alpha\beta; jk] := V_{\alpha j} V_{\beta k} \bar{V}_{\alpha k} \bar{V}_{\beta j}, \quad (abc) = (abc; k_1 k_2 k_3) := V_{ak_1} \bar{V}_{bk_1} V_{bk_2} \bar{V}_{ck_2} V_{ck_3} \bar{V}_{ak_3}.$$

Moreover by using a relation

$$V_{4k_2} \bar{V}_{4k_4} = \delta_{k_2 k_4} - V_{1k_2} \bar{V}_{1k_4} - V_{2k_2} \bar{V}_{2k_4} - V_{3k_2} \bar{V}_{3k_4},$$

then, for example, the coefficient of $T_{(12)(34)}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} V_{1k_1} \bar{V}_{2k_1} V_{3k_2} \bar{V}_{4k_2} V_{2k_3} \bar{V}_{1k_3} V_{4k_4} \bar{V}_{3k_4} \\
&= \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} [12; k_1 k_3] [34; k_2 k_4] \\
&= \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} b_{k_2 4}^2 b_{k_3 4} [12; k_1 k_3] |V_{3k_2}|^2 - \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} [12; k_1 k_3] [31; k_2 k_4] \\
&\quad - \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} [12; k_1 k_3] [32; k_2 k_4] - \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} [12; k_1 k_3] [33; k_2 k_4] \\
&= \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} b_{k_2 4} b_{k_3 4}^2 [12; k_1 k_2] |V_{3k_3}|^2 - \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} [12; k_1 k_2] [13; k_3 k_4] \\
&\quad - \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} [12; k_1 k_2] [23; k_3 k_4] - \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} [12; k_1 k_3] |V_{3k_2}|^2 |V_{3k_4}|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the coefficient of $-2T_{(1243)}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} V_{1k_1} \bar{V}_{2k_1} V_{2k_2} \bar{V}_{4k_2} V_{3k_3} \bar{V}_{1k_3} V_{4k_4} \bar{V}_{3k_4} \\
&= \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} b_{k_2 4}^2 b_{k_3 4} (123; k_1 k_2 k_3) - \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} [12; k_1 k_2] [31; k_3 k_4] \\
&\quad - \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} ((123; k_1 k_4 k_3) |V_{2k_2}|^2 + (123; k_1 k_2 k_3) |V_{3k_4}|^2) \\
&= \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} b_{k_2 4} b_{k_3 4}^2 (312) - \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} [12; k_1 k_2] [13; k_3 k_4] \\
&\quad - \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} (123) (|V_{2k_4}|^2 + |V_{3k_4}|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we can sum up the coefficient of $-\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} [12; k_1 k_2] [13; k_3 k_4]$;

$$T_{(12)(34)} + T_{(13)(24)} - 2T_{(1243)} = T_{(14)(23)},$$

similary, the coefficient of $-\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} [12; k_1 k_2] [23; k_3 k_4]$;

$$T_{(12)(34)} + T_{(14)(23)} - 2T_{(1234)} = T_{(13)(24)},$$

and the coefficient of $-\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} [13; k_1 k_2] [23; k_3 k_4]$,

$$T_{(13)(24)} + T_{(14)(23)} - 2T_{(1324)} = T_{(12)(34)}$$

Therefore we obtain the following theorem;

Theorem 2.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \det(DV D' V^\dagger - V D' V^\dagger D) \\
&= Re \left[T_{(12)(34)} \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} b_{k_2 4} b_{k_3 4}^2 [12; k_1 k_2] |V_{3k_3}|^2 \right. \\
&\quad + T_{(13)(24)} \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} b_{k_2 4} b_{k_3 4}^2 [13; k_1 k_2] |V_{2k_3}|^2 \\
&\quad + T_{(14)(23)} \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} b_{k_2 4} b_{k_3 4}^2 [23; k_1 k_2] |V_{1k_3}|^2 \\
&\quad - 2 \left(T_{(1243)} \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} b_{k_2 4} b_{k_3 4}^2 (312) + T_{(1324)} \sum b_{k_1 4} b_{k_2 4} b_{k_3 4}^2 (132) + T_{(1234)} \sum b_{k_1 4} b_{k_2 4} b_{k_3 4}^2 (123) \right) \\
&\quad - \left\{ T_{(12)(34)} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} ([13; k_1 k_2] [23; k_3 k_4] + [12; k_1 k_2] |V_{3k_3}|^2 |V_{3k_4}|^2) \right. \\
&\quad + T_{(13)(24)} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} ([12; k_1 k_2] [23; k_3 k_4] + [13; k_1 k_2] |V_{2k_3}|^2 |V_{2k_4}|^2) \\
&\quad + T_{(14)(23)} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} ([12; k_1 k_2] [13; k_3 k_4] + [23; k_1 k_2] |V_{1k_3}|^2 |V_{1k_4}|^2) \\
&\quad - 2 \left(T_{(1243)} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} (312) (|V_{2k_4}|^2 + |V_{3k_4}|^2) \right. \\
&\quad + T_{(1324)} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} (132) (|V_{1k_4}|^2 + |V_{2k_4}|^2) \\
&\quad \left. \left. + T_{(1234)} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4=1}^3 b_{k_1 4} \cdots b_{k_4 4} (123) (|V_{1k_4}|^2 + |V_{3k_4}|^2) \right) \right\} \Big]
\end{aligned}$$

Remark We have a relation

$$T_{(12)(34)} + T_{(13)(24)} + T_{(14)(23)} - 2T_{(1243)} - 2T_{(1324)} - 2T_{(1234)} = 0.$$

However, we cannot sum up this determinant to more compact form any more. Therefore we conclude that, in case of $n = 4$, it is hard to use Jarlskog's determinant for investigations of CP violation.

3 Invariant Phases of Unitary Matrices

To study CP violation, we need quantities which are invariant under the action

$$V \rightarrow \text{diag}(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n}) V \text{diag}(e^{i\theta'_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta'_n}).$$

One of them is Jarlskog's determinant $\det[H, H']$ and in the case of $n = 3$ it has a simple form

$$\det[H, H'] = 2i TB \text{Im} (V_{11}V_{22}\bar{V}_{12}\bar{V}_{21}).$$

However, as we showed in the previous section, the determinant is much complicated and it is hard to use it in the case of $n \geq 4$. Therefore, according to [3], we introduce invariant phases of unitary matrices.

Definition 3.

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha\beta; jk) &:= \text{Im} (V_{\alpha j}V_{\beta k}\bar{V}_{\alpha k}\bar{V}_{\beta j}), \\ <\alpha\beta; jk> &:= \text{Re} (V_{\alpha j}V_{\beta k}\bar{V}_{\alpha k}\bar{V}_{\beta j}). \end{aligned}$$

First we have

Lemma 4.

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha\beta; kj) &= -(\alpha\beta; jk), \quad (\beta\alpha; jk) = -(\alpha\beta; jk) \quad (\text{antisymmetric w.r.t. } \alpha \text{ and } \beta, j \text{ and } k) \\ <\alpha\beta; kj> &= <\alpha\beta; jk>, \quad <\beta\alpha; jk> = <\alpha\beta; jk> \quad (\text{symmetric w.r.t. } \alpha \text{ and } \beta, j \text{ and } k). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: The proof is easy.

In case of $n = 3$, we have already showed $\det[H, H'] = 2i TB (12; 12)$. To investigate relations of $(\alpha\beta; jk)$ s or $<\alpha\beta; jk>$ s, the following proposition is fundamental.

Proposition 5. (I) *(Unitary relations of imaginary part)*

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^n (\alpha\beta; jk) = 0, \quad \sum_{\beta=1}^n (\alpha\beta; jk) = 0 \quad (\text{row unitary relations}),$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (\alpha\beta; jk) = 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^n (\alpha\beta; jk) = 0 \quad (\text{column unitary relations}).$$

(II) *(Unitary relations of real part)*

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^n <\alpha\beta; jk> = \delta_{jk}|V_{\beta j}|^2, \quad \sum_{\beta=1}^n <\alpha\beta; jk> = \delta_{jk}|V_{\alpha j}|^2 \quad (\text{row unitary relations}),$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \langle \alpha\beta; jk \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta} |V_{\alpha k}|^2, \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \alpha\beta; jk \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta} |V_{\alpha j}|^2 \quad (\text{column unitary relations}).$$

Proof: We only prove $\sum_{\alpha=1}^n (\alpha\beta; jk) = 0$. Noting that unitary relations $\sum_{\alpha=1}^n V_{\alpha j} \bar{V}_{\alpha k} = \delta_{jk}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (\alpha\beta; jk) &= \text{Im} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (V_{\alpha j} V_{\beta k} \bar{V}_{\alpha k} \bar{V}_{\beta j}) \\ &= \text{Im} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^n (V_{\alpha j} \bar{V}_{\alpha k}) V_{\beta k} \bar{V}_{\beta j} \right) \\ &= \text{Im} (\delta_{jk} V_{\beta k} \bar{V}_{\beta j}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We can prove other relations in a similar way. Therefore we conclude the proof.

By using the proposition 5, in case of $n = 3$, if we remark relations $(\alpha\beta; jj) = 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (12; 13) &= -(12; 11) - (12; 12) = -(12; 12), \quad (12; 23) = -(12; 21) = (12; 12), \\ (13; 12) &= -(12; 12), \quad (13; 13) = -(13; 12) = (12; 12), \\ (13; 23) &= -(13; 21) = (12; 21) = -(12; 12), \\ (23; 12) &= -(21; 12) = (12; 12), \quad (23; 13) = -(23; 12) = (21; 12) = -(12; 12), \\ (23; 23) &= -(21; 23) = (21; 21) = (12; 12). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have one independent invariant phase $(12; 12)$.

Next, in case of $n = 4$, because of $(\alpha, \beta), (j, k) = (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 4)$, we have $6 \times 6 = 36$ possibilities. In view of the theory for CP violation, we would like to find only three invariant phases in the case of $n = 4$. To show this, according to [6], we put

$$R_{\alpha j} := \langle \alpha, \alpha + 1; j, j + 1 \rangle \quad (\alpha, j = 1, 2, 3),$$

$$J_{\alpha j} := (\alpha, \alpha + 1; j, j + 1) \quad (\alpha, j = 1, 2, 3).$$

Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 6. *We put*

$$\mathbf{J} = [J_{\alpha j}]_{\substack{\alpha = 1, 2, 3 \\ j = 1, 2, 3}}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

then 36 $(\alpha\beta; jk)$ s are expressed by

$$\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{JA}, \mathbf{AJ}, \mathbf{AJA}.$$

More explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} [(\alpha\beta; jk)]_{\substack{(\alpha\beta) = (12), (23), (34) \\ (jk) = (24), (14), (13)}} &= \begin{bmatrix} (12; 24) & (12; 14) & (12; 13) \\ (23; 24) & (23; 14) & (23; 13) \\ (34; 24) & (34; 14) & (34; 13) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{JA}, \\ [(\alpha\beta; jk)]_{\substack{(\alpha\beta) = (24), (14), (13) \\ (jk) = (12), (23), (34)}} &= \mathbf{AJ}, \quad [(\alpha\beta; jk)]_{\substack{(\alpha\beta) = (24), (14), (13) \\ (jk) = (24), (14), (13)}} = \mathbf{AJA}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: By using the proposition 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (12; 21) + (12; 23) + (12; 24) \\ &= -J_{11} + J_{12} + (12; 24) \\ (12; 24) &= J_{11} - J_{12}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (12; 31) + (12; 32) + (12; 34) \\ &= -(12; 13) - J_{12} + J_{13} \\ (12; 13) &= -J_{12} + J_{13}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

By using (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (12; 41) + (12; 42) + (12; 43) \\ &= -(12; 14) - (12; 24) - J_{13} \\ &= -(12; 14) - J_{11} + J_{12} - J_{13} \\ (12; 14) &= -J_{11} + J_{12} - J_{13}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

In a similar way, we find that 36 $(\alpha\beta; jk)$ s are expressed by the linear combination of 9 $J_{\alpha j}$ s. Therefore we conclude the proof.

By this proposition 6, we have only to show 9 $J_{\alpha j}$ s as combinations of three of them, say J_{11} , J_{22} , J_{33} , we need more nonlinear relations between these invariant phases. The following proposition gives the relations of them.

Proposition 7. (I)

$$\langle \alpha\beta; jk \rangle (\alpha\beta; kl) + \langle \alpha\beta; kl \rangle (\alpha\beta; jk) = \langle \alpha\beta; kk \rangle (\alpha\beta; jl) \quad (3.4)$$

$$\langle \alpha\beta; jk \rangle (\beta\gamma; jk) + \langle \beta\gamma; jk \rangle (\alpha\beta; jk) = \langle \beta\beta; jk \rangle (\alpha\gamma; jk) \quad (3.5)$$

(II)

$$\langle \alpha\beta; jk \rangle \langle \alpha\beta; lm \rangle - \langle \alpha\beta; jm \rangle \langle \alpha\beta; kl \rangle = (\alpha\beta; jl)(\alpha\beta; km) \quad (3.6)$$

$$\langle \alpha\beta; jk \rangle \langle \gamma\delta; jk \rangle - \langle \alpha\delta; jk \rangle \langle \beta\gamma; jk \rangle = (\alpha\gamma; jk)(\beta\delta; jk) \quad (3.7)$$

Proof: We can prove them by a straightforward calculation.

For example, we put $(\alpha\beta) = (12), j = 1, k = 2, l = 3$ in (3.4), then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 12; 12 \rangle (\langle 12; 23 \rangle + \langle 12; 23 \rangle \langle 12; 12 \rangle) &= \langle 12; 22 \rangle \langle 12; 13 \rangle \\ R_{11}J_{12} + R_{12}J_{11} &= |V_{12}V_{22}|^2(-J_{12} + J_{13}) \\ -(|V_{12}V_{22}|^2 + R_{11})J_{12} + |V_{12}V_{22}|^2J_{13} &= R_{12}J_{11} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the relations in [6] as follows;

$$\begin{bmatrix} -(|V_{12}V_{22}|^2 + R_{11}) & |V_{12}V_{22}|^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & |V_{33}V_{34}|^2 & 0 & -(|V_{33}V_{34}|^2 + R_{33}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(|V_{21}V_{22}|^2 + R_{11}) & 0 & |V_{21}V_{22}|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & |V_{33}V_{43}|^2 & -(|V_{33}V_{43}|^2 + R_{33}) \\ |V_{32}V_{33}|^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -R_{22} \\ 0 & 0 & |V_{23}V_{33}|^2 & -R_{22} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} J_{12} \\ J_{13} \\ J_{21} \\ J_{23} \\ J_{31} \\ J_{32} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{12}J_{11} \\ R_{23}J_{33} \\ R_{21}J_{11} \\ R_{32}J_{33} \\ (|V_{32}V_{33}|^2 + R_{32})J_{22} \\ (|V_{23}V_{33}|^2 + R_{23})J_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the rank of the coefficient matrix are generally six, we showed that 36 $(\alpha\beta; jk)$ s are expressed by J_{11}, J_{22} and J_{33} .

4 Discussion

In this paper, we showed the explicit calculation of Jarlskog's determinant in the case of 4 families and we realized that it was hard to use the determinant for investigations of CP violation in the case of $n \geq 4$. Next, we studied Jarlskog's invariant phases of unitary matrices instead of the determinant. Then we gave some useful formulas for them and derived the detailed dependency of them. Mathematically, a generalization of proposition 6 is an interesting problem. It is a future task.

Acknowledgements

The author is very grateful to Kazuyuki Fujii for helpful suggestion and comments on an earlier draft on this paper.

References

- [1] N.Cabibbo, *Unitary Symmetry and Leptonic Decays*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10(1963), 531.
- [2] M.Kobayashi and T.Maskawa, *CP-violation in the Renormalizable Theory of Weak Interaction*, Prog. Theor. Phys. 49(1973), 652.
- [3] C.Jarlskog, *Commutator of the Quark Mass Matrices in the Standard Electroweak Model and a Measure of Maximal CP Nonconservation*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55(1985), no.10, 1039-1042.
- [4] C.Jarlskog, *Flavor Projection Operators and Applications to CP violation with any number of families*, Phys. Rev. D 36(1987), no.7, 2128-2136.
- [5] C.Jarlskog, *A Recursive Parameterisation of Unitary Matrices*, J.Math.Phys.46(2005), 103508, math-ph/0504049.
- [6] C.Jarlskog, *Recursive Parameterisation and Invariant Phases of Unitary Matrices*, J.Math.Phys.47(2006), 013507, math-ph/0510034.
- [7] K.Fujii, *Comment on “A Recursive Parameterisation of Unitary Matrices”*, quant-ph/0505047.
- [8] K.Fujii, K.Funahashi, T.Kobayashi, *Jarlskog’s Parametrization of Unitary Matrices and Qubit Theory*, Int.J.Geom.Methods Mod.Phys., 3(2006), 269, quant-ph/0508006.
- [9] C.Jarlskog and R.Stora, *Unitarity Polygons and CP Violation Areas and Phases in the Standard Electroweak Model*, Phys.Lett.B208(1988), 268.
- [10] G.W.Gibbons, S.Gielen, C.N.Pope and N.Turok, *Naturalness of CP Violation in the Standard Model*, Phys.Rev.Lett.102(2009)121802, arXiv:0810.4368[hep-th].
- [11] K.Fujii, *A Geometric Parametrization of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa Matrix and the Jarlskog Invariant*, arXiv:0901.2180, to appear in Int.J.Geom.Methods Mod.Phys.